

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

APPLICATION N	O. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,925		06/07/2004	Moriss Kung	11238-US-PA	3924
31561	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
		TELLECTUAL PR	IM, JUNGHWA M		
	-1, NO. 100		A DOC LINET	DADED MINDED	
ROOSEV	ELT ROAD	, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI,	100		2811		
TAIWAN	,				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	
σ	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/709,925	KUNG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Junghwa M. Im	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 ∧	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2005.						
, ——							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-8,10 and 12-14</u> is/are pending	g in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10 and 12-14 is/are rejected							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s) 1) Motion of References Cited (RTO 202)	A) []	n. (OTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Linterview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 10 and 12-14 in the reply filed on November 17, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims are 1-2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a limitation regarding the first chip and a second chip which is not shown in the instant invention. In detail, in claim 1, a second chip cannot be over the active surface of the first chip, meanwhile satisfying $h3 \ge hi + h2$.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCormick (US 6369448).

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 1, insofar as understood, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows a multi-chip package module, comprising:

a second chip [412] having an active surface;

a first chip [410] positioned over the active surface of the second chip as a flip -chip structure, wherein a height of the second chip in a direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h1;

a plurality of first bumps [416] positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the second chip, wherein a height of the first bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h2; and

a plurality of contacts [414], protruding from the active surface of the first chip, wherein a height of the contacts in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h3, and values of h1, h2, and h3 are related by an in-equality of h3 \geq hi + h2.

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows that an insulating material [418] over the active surface of the first chip that encloses the first bumps and the contacts.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows a multi-chip package structure, comprising:

a substrate [402];

a plurality of contacts [414];

a first chip [410] having an active surface that faces the substrate, wherein the contacts are positioned between the first chip and the substrate, and a distance between the substrate and the active surface in a direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as d;

Art Unit: 2811

a second chip [412] positioned between the first chip and the substrate, wherein a height of the second chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h1; and

a plurality of first bumps [422] positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the second chip for electric connection, wherein a height of the first bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h2 and values of h1, h2 and d are related by an inequality of $d \ge hi + h2$.

Regarding claim 10, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows that an insulating material [418] over the active surface of the first chip that encloses the first bumps and the contacts.

Regarding claim 12, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows that a height of the contacts in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h3 and values of hi, h2 and h3 are related by an inequality of $h3 \ge h + h2$.

Claims 1-2 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klein et al. (US Pat. Pub. 2004/0145051).

Regarding claim 1, insofar as understood, Fig. 9A of Klein shows a multi-chip package module, comprising:

a second chip [14-1] having an active surface;

a first chip [12] positioned over the active surface of the second chip as a flip -chip structure, wherein a height of the second chip in a direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h1;

a plurality of contacts [11, 118];

Art Unit: 2811

a plurality of first bumps [44] positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the second chip, wherein a height of the first bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h2; and

a plurality of contacts [22, 118], protruding from the active surface of the first chip, wherein a height of the contacts in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h3, and values of h1, h2, and h3 are related by an in-equality of h3 \geq hi + h2.

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 9A of Klein shows that each contact comprises a plurality of stacked second bumps.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 9A of Klein shows a multi-chip package structure, comprising: a substrate (paragraph [0092]);

a first chip [12] having an active surface that faces the substrate, wherein the contacts are positioned between the first chip and the substrate, and a distance between the substrate and the active surface in a direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as d;

a second chip [14-1] positioned between the first chip and the substrate, wherein a height of the second chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h1; and

a plurality of first bumps [44] positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the second chip for electric connection, wherein a height of the first bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h2 and values of h1, h2 and d are related by an inequality of $d \ge hi + h2$.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 8, Fig. 9A of Klein shows that each of the contacts comprises a plurality of stacked second bumps [22, 118].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick in view of Venkateshwaran et al. (US 6339253), hereinafter Venkateshwaran.

Regarding claim 6, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows most aspect of the instant invention except "a third chip and a plurality of third bumps, wherein the third chip is positioned over the active surface of the first chip as a flip chip structure, the third bumps are positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the third chip, a height of the third chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as h4, a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as h5, and values of h3, h4 and h5 are related by an in-equality of h3 \geq h4 + h5." Fig. 7 of Venkateshwaran shows a multi-chip package structure, comprising a third chip [704] and a plurality of third bumps, wherein the third chip is positioned over the active surface of the first chip, the third bumps are positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the third chip, a height of the third chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as

Art Unit: 2811

h4, a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as h5, and values of h3, h4 and h5 are related by an in-equality of h3 \geq h4 + h5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Venkateshwaran into the device of McCormick in order to have "a third chip and a plurality of third bumps, wherein the third chip is positioned over the active surface of the first chip as a flip chip structure, the third bumps are positioned between the active surface of the first chip and the third chip, a height of the third chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as h4, a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface being defined as h5, and values of h3, h4 and h5 are related by an in-equality of h3 \geq h4 + h5" for a compact package structure.

Regarding claim 13, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows most aspect of the instant invention except "a third chip and a plurality of third bumps such that the third chip is positioned between the first chip and the substrate, as well as the third bumps are positioned between the first chip and the third chip to connect together as a flip chip structure, wherein a height of the third chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h4 and a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h5, and values of d, h4 and h5 are related by an inequality of $d \ge h4 + h5$." Fig. 7 of Venkateshwaran shows a multi-chip package structure, comprising a third chip [704] and a plurality of third bumps such that the third chip is positioned between the first chip [701] and the substrate [700], as well as the third bumps are positioned between the first chip and the third chip to connect together, wherein a height of the third chip in the direction

Art Unit: 2811

perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h4 and a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h5, and values of d, h4 and h5 are related by an inequality of $d \ge h4 + h5$

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Venkateshwaran into the device of McCormick in order to have "a third chip and a plurality of third bumps such that the third chip is positioned between the first chip and the substrate, as well as the third bumps are positioned between the first chip and the third chip to connect together as a flip chip structure, wherein a height of the third chip in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h4 and a height of the third bumps in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h5, and values of d, h4 and h5 are related by an inequality of $d \ge h4 + h5$ " for a compact package structure.

Regarding claim 14, Fig. 4 of McCormick shows that a height of the contacts in the direction perpendicular to the active surface is defined as h3 and values of h3, h4 and h5 are related by an inequality of $h3 \ge h4 + h5$.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800